


Association of Veterinary Consultants

**Potential Consequences of the current
Discussions and Papers on the Development of
new Classes of Antimicrobials**

presented by: Dr. Klaus Hellmann

at HMA/CVMP/Interested Parties Meeting

20th October 2009
Uppsala, Sweden

Uppsala, SWE 29.10.2009


Association of Veterinary Consultants

Introduction

- ⇒ Various Papers published related to Antimicrobials
 - Fluoroquinolones (EMA, EFSA)
 - Cephalosporines (EMA, EFSA, ECDC)
 - Macrolides
 - Which class next?
- ⇒ Strict Regulations for Antimicrobials and Antibiotics
 - Regulation 1831/2003
 - Directive 2004/28
- ⇒ Lisboa Agenda: most competitive and innovative Market
- ⇒ Need for Innovation to meet increased food demand
- ⇒ Antimicrobials 2nd largest Market in EU Animal Health
- ⇒ Antimicrobials lowest Innovation

Uppsala, SWE 29.10.2009


Association of Veterinary Consultants

Recent Developments

New MA for Antimicrobials since 00

- 95 % Generics
- New Claims
- New active Ingredients
- New Formulations
- New Classes: ?

Recent Developments

➤ Generics

- Valuable development to harmonise EU markets
- Problems regarding harmonisation of claims
- Is this true innovation?

Recent Developments

➤ New Claims

- Frequent, as foreseeable cost and benefit:
 - DC study
 - Field study
 - MIC data
- Many examples, e.g.
 - Haemophilus parasuis (Glässer Disease)
 - Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae, M. bovis (enzootic Pneu.)
 - Prevention/Metaphylaxis of SRD/BRD
 - Lawsonia intracellularis
 - Necrotic enteritis
 - Footrot

Recent Developments

➤ New Active Ingredients

- 3rd Generation Cephalosporin in pets
 - Cefovecin
- Fluoroquinolones in pets
 - Pradofloxacin ↓
 - Orbifloxacin (combination)
- Macrolides in Food Producing Animals
 - Tylvalosin
 - Tulathromycin
 - Gamithromycin

Recent Developments

➔ New Formulations/Application Forms

- One-Shot (e.g. Convenia, Naxcel, Zactran, Draxxin, Baytril Max)
- Injection at the base of the ear (Naxcel)
- Combinations (Posatex)

Recent Developments

➔ New Classes

- NONE in Animal Health

Antimicrobial Classes in Human Health

- | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| ➔ Pleuromutilins 2007 | ➔ Cephalosporins 1953 |
| ➔ Glycylcyclines 2005 | ➔ Erythromycin A 1952 |
| ➔ Cyclic Lipopeptides 05 | ➔ Amphenicoles 1949 |
| ➔ Ketolites 2001 | ➔ Tetracyclines 1948 |
| ➔ Oxazolidinone 2000 | ➔ Polymixins 1947 |
| ➔ Macrolides 1987 | ➔ Aminglycosides 1944 |
| ➔ Carbapenemes 1985 | ➔ Penicillins 1943 |
| ➔ Fluoroquinolones 1983 | ➔ Sulfonamides 1936 |
| ➔ Streptogramins 1962 | ➔ Salvarasan 1910 |
| ➔ Glycopeptides 1958 | |

New Antimicrobial Classes in AH

- Recently identified classes have not been authorised as Animal Health Products
- However, off-Label use in pets, especially in hospital kind settings
- While we do not authorise them in EU, they are imported via the food chain to the European consumer

Why little interest of AH-Industry in new Classes of Antimicrobials?

- Cost of Investment
 versus
- Predictability of Success

Where to direct investment?

- Profitable: justify investment
- Innovative: better than currently available products
- Low Risk: not used in Human Medicine
- **Positive Benefit/Risk from both: applicant and regulators**

Potential Influence of Guidelines

- ⇒ Create further Hurdles to get MA
 - E.g. only „serious“ disease
 - More rigorous evaluation compared to other products

- ⇒ Do they Provide Clarity to Applicants?
 - In which respect?

Costs of Development

- ⇒ MRL: 5 Mill €
- ⇒ MA of Antimicrobial Class livestock: ~40-80 Mill €
- ⇒ ROI only, if MA granted for beef/dairy and swine

- ⇒ Generic Antimicrobial: 1 - 3 Mill €
- ⇒ New Class of Antiparasitics in Pets: 10 - 15 Mill €
- ⇒ New NSAID: ~ 20 - 30 Mill €
- ⇒ Livestock Vaccine: ~ 8 - 12 Mill €

Risks

- ⇒ Failing to get MA
- ⇒ Delay in getting MA
- ⇒ SPC Restrictions that limit ROI
- ⇒ Competition
- Associated with:**
- ⇒ Changing guidance
- ⇒ Changing Assessment
- ⇒ Changing the Risk/Benefit profile
- ⇒ Referrals and other obstacles

New Actives?

- No independent basic Research for Antimicrobials in Animal Health: Remainings from human R&D
- Also applies for currently used Classes: FQ, Ceph, Macrolides, Tetracyclines
- Risk-Benefit Assessment should also address the potential Consequences regarding future Innovation in such Area: Success will trigger Investment! Non-Approval will discourage all!

We believe:

- Need for new, highly efficacious Antimicrobials for Animal Health, both pets and food producing species
- Risk/Benefit assessment of industry currently not very positive: ↓ investment
- Unlikely to invest in new Classes
- Unlikely to invest in Classes, where such Guidance has created further Hurdles for MA
- The Guidance produced may not be, what encourages Investors

We propose:

- Broad political support to improve husbandry
- Avoid misuse of AM (see last AVC presentation)
- Invest in training farmers/vets for responsible use of AM
- Support the development of new Classes by
 - Reducing hurdles to obtain MA
 - Providing clarity to avoid spoiling money
- Society needs new Classes to treat new (resistant) organisms, support secure and safe food



Thank you for support by AVC members

- Dr. Tim Rowan, Ireland
 - Dr. Patxi Sarasola, Spain
- and some other colleagues from Industry



Association of Veterinary Consultants

THANK YOU for inviting AVC

Dr. Klaus Hellmann
senior-vice-president@avc.at
www.avc.at
